INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION SHEET

IES Control Number: *Utility Assessment "0-2"*

Source Number: _018_

SECTION I

Collection Requirement:
Provide foreign intelligence information against foreign national target personalities. Determine Source's propensity to access such targets

Collection Requirement Generated By:
(ICR/CIR/DIRM/INITIATIVE/OTHER)

DIA (DT-S)

Does the information satisfy your Intelligence Collection Requirement?	YES	IN PART	NO	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
	☐	☐	☐	☑

A narrative explanation is required:

Only one of the following three explanations can account for Source's failure to access the target: Source is unable to access target personalities; Source had a bad day; Source was not properly tasked.

Agree with interviewer remarks (Para 4 Session # 01) that technical tasking difficulty described in Para 2 SESSION #01 may have

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

inadvertently skewed Source's understanding of the task at hand.

(classification)

SECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided, not its usefulness. Responses are scaled from 0-3 utilizing the following explanatory criteria. If a source has not addressed a particular item then select UNKNOWN. If a source describes an item which cannot be verified then select CANNOT BE DETERMINED.

    0 - Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

    1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

    2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

    3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

		0-3	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
IIa -	Geographic locale description, i.e., terrain, bodies of water, mountains, etc.	☐	☐	☑
IIb -	Large scale manmade objects, i.e., cities, rail yards, silos, docks, etc.	☐	☐	☑
IIc -	Small scale manmade structures, i.e., antennae, computers, vehicles, missiles, small buildings, etc.	☐	☐	☑
IId.-	General target functions, i.e., research, production, training, storage, troop billets, etc.	☐	☐	☑

(classification)

0- Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

	0-3	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
IIe - Specific target functions, i.e., nuclear testing, CBR storage/ research, missile testing etc.	☐	☐	☑ 4
IIf - Personalities at site, i.e., descriptions, activities, responsibilities, political proclivity, support for government.	☐	☐	☑
IIg - Data of predictive importance, i.e., plans, preparations hostile intentions.	☐	☐	☑

A narrative elaboration is requested:

See Comments in SECTION 1.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

_____

(classification)

SECTION III

Please check which best describes the intelligence value of
the information provided.

☐   ☐   ☐   ☐   ☑
VERY USEFUL   USEFUL   MARGINAL   NO VALUE   CANNOT BE
                                VALUE   DETERMINED

Narrative comment required. Explain how information provided
will be used (i.e. confirm other intelligence sources, targeting,
etc.). If information is of marginal or no value, explain why.

See Comments in Section 1.

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

SECTION IV

This organization solicits your frank and informal comment on any aspect of this project which could better aid us in providing high quality intelligence to the consumer. Please feel free to use the space below or additional sheets of paper as necessary to convey your thoughts.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

SGFOIA3

EVALUATOR'S NAME AND OFFICE: SIGNATURE:

DATE OF EVALUATION:

_23 APR 87_____